

# 9<sup>th</sup> Conference on Air Quality Modeling – A&WMA AB-3 Comments on AERMOD

George J. Schewe, CCM, QEP  
Trinity Consultants  
1717 Dixie Highway, Suite 900  
Covington, KY 41011  
[gschewe@trinityconsultants.com](mailto:gschewe@trinityconsultants.com)



# AERMOD Comments

- Low wind speed issues
- Modeling of roadways for NO<sub>2</sub> and PM
- Problems with modeling small urban areas
- Need for post-processor to combine multiple AERMOD runs
- Deposition support
- Adjustments for international applications



# Low Wind Speed Issues

- Many investigators report that the worst-case AERMOD impacts occur for very low wind speeds, especially for low-level sources
- AERMOD has limited evaluation for these conditions
- ASOS use of sonic anemometer data and averaging of sub-hourly ASOS data will likely create more hours with very low wind speeds
- AERMOD needs supplemental evaluation to assess the accuracy of these “design concentration” predictions



# Modeling of Roadway Sources

- Short-term  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations are dominated by mobile source impacts near major roadways
- Roadways are characterized by enhanced turbulence and low wind speeds generated by traffic itself
- Review of data from tracer studies and adjustments to AERMOD modeling procedures for roadway is an important issue for EPA to pursue
- Problems - few long-term monitors near roadways & quantification of emissions, especially PM, is questionable



# Problems with Modeling Small Urban Sources

- Nocturnal urban mixing height ( $Z_{iu}$ ) is a function of population
- For small populations,  $Z_{iu}$  can be quite low (e.g., about 200 m for a population of 50,000)
- This has been found to result in plume capping at night for all plumes, no matter how buoyant, leading to counter-intuitive results
- EPA should investigate this issue and correct the problem



# Need for Postprocessor

- AERMOD runs can be very long
- Runs cannot be done separately and combined in postprocessor, as is done with CALPUFF
- EPA should develop a system like that of the CALPUFF system, or translate AERMOD conc. files to CALPUFF-like files
- TRC may have a draft code that can do this



# Deposition Support

- Dry gas deposition is not included in the implementation guides but in the 2004 addendum – makes for some confusion
- Recommend that AERMOD guidance provide further implementation guidance to address use of dry gas deposition factors and the use of ANL physical parameters for common pollutants (Wesely, et.al, 2002)



# Adjustments for International Applications

- International applications have challenges due to 12Z sounding times not at sunrise
- Bob Paine provided EPA (in October 2007) with several possible enhancements
  - Swapping of 12Z and 00Z sounding time labels
  - Adjustment of lower part of sounding to reflect morning minimum sfc temp
  - Enhanced debugging output
- EPA should make these enhancements available, at least in beta test form





# AERSURFACE Comments

- Issues with AERSURFACE implementation
- Sensitivity of modeling to surface characteristics



# Issues with AERSURFACE Implementation

- Land use determination very localized - within 1 km
  - Greater chance of mismatch in surface type between met tower and source
- For tall stack, buoyant releases, 1 km is too short of a fetch distance
- Low roughness near towers increases likelihood of low  $u_*$  and low wind speed issues
- Moisture assigned only on an annual basis



# Sensitivity Test for Representativeness of Surface Characteristics

- Brode et al. have written paper for A&WMA 2008 Annual Meeting on sensitivity modeling
- We recommend use of AERSCREEN with different runs for met and application site surface characteristics
- If peak predictions are reasonably similar (say, within 10%), then assume that differences in site surface characteristics have a minor effect



# AERMET Comments

- States advocating use of more recent data sets
- Many more calms in recent data sets – if considered missing as suggested in GAQM, does not meet 90% capture criteria
- If many calms, does CALMS preprocessor work properly? Conc artificially too low?
- Guidance needed on use of recent met data

